

$[\mu\text{-}2,3,5,6\text{-Tetrakis}(2\text{-pyridyl})\text{pyrazine}]\text{bis}[\text{chloroplatinum(II)}] \text{ bis}[\text{trichloro}(\text{dimethyl sulfoxide-}\kappa\text{S})\text{platinate(II)}]$

Ken Sakai* and Mai Kurashima

Department of Applied Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Tokyo University of Science, Kagurazaka 1-3, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 162-8601, Japan

Correspondence e-mail: ksakai@rs.kagu.tus.ac.jp

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study

$T = 296 \text{ K}$

Mean $\sigma(\text{C}-\text{C}) = 0.040 \text{ \AA}$

R factor = 0.079

wR factor = 0.117


Data-to-parameter ratio = 12.4

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see <http://journals.iucr.org/e>.

A reaction of *cis*-PtCl₂(DMSO)₂ (DMSO = dimethyl sulfoxide, C₂H₆OS) with 2,3,5,6-tetrakis(2-pyridyl)pyrazine (tpp, C₂₄H₁₆N₆) gave the title salt, [Pt₂Cl₂(μ -tpp)][PtCl₃(DMSO)]₂. The compound consists of a dinuclear Pt cation, [Pt₂Cl₂(μ -tpp)]²⁺, and two [PtCl₃(DMSO)]⁻ anions. The two Pt atoms within the cation are separated by a distance of 6.4792 (17) Å, and their coordination planes are canted at an angle of 19.6 (9)°. The shortest intermolecular Pt...Pt distance is 4.5726 (16) Å. Metal-metal interactions are unimportant in the crystal structure, and the packing is stabilized by extensive π - π interactions between the tpp moieties, in addition to ionic interactions.

Comment

Attempts have been made thus far to develop new types of one-dimensional platinum compounds in our laboratory (Sakai *et al.*, 2002). We were hoping to obtain systems involving relatively large aromatic systems and recently started exploring the coordination chemistry of platinum and 2,3,5,6-tetrakis(2-pyridyl)pyrazine (tpp). The title compound was obtained during efforts to synthesize tetravalent dinuclear cations of hexadentate tpp, such as [Pt₂(NH₃)₂(μ -tpp)]⁴⁺, even though the preparation of such compounds has been unsuccessful so far. Here we report on the crystal structure of a novel salt obtained for the Pt-tpp family, [Pt₂Cl₂(μ -tpp)][PtCl₃(DMSO)]₂, (I).



Until now, at least 34 tpp complexes have been structurally analysed by X-ray diffraction (Cambridge Structural Database; Allen, 2002), and at least eight kinds of coordination modes have been characterized. These compounds involve mono-, di-, and trinuclear complexes, but no structures of mixed-metal complexes have been determined. Among them, 12 complexes possess a structure in which tpp bridges two metal centers with two tridentate moieties in a *mer* fashion. As for Pt, only one compound, namely [Pt₂(PEt₃)₂Cl₂(μ -tpp)][Pt(SnCl₃)₄(PEt₃)] (Teles *et al.*, 2000), has been structurally characterized, where tpp binds to two Pt atoms with two

Received 19 May 2003

Accepted 27 May 2003

Online 10 June 2003




Figure 1
The structures of the three independent complex ions in (I), showing the atom-labeling scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level. H atoms have been omitted.




Figure 2
A view along the Cl1—Pt1...Pt2—Cl2 axis, showing the twist of the tpp ligand.

bidentate moieties while the two N donors of the pyrazine ring do not take part in the coordination. In this context, this is the first example of a Pt–tpp complex in which tpp bridges two Pt centers in a fully ligated form, with two chelates in a *mer* fashion.

As shown in Fig. 1, a dinuclear cation and two mononuclear anions are found in the asymmetric unit of (I). Displacement parameters of DMSO C and O atoms are relatively large, reflecting the rotational flexibility about the Pt–S axes. The

tpp ligand is severely distorted from planarity to avoid steric contacts between the H atoms at the 3-position of pyridyl moieties. The twist in the tpp ligand is estimated to be $\omega(\text{tpp}) = 26.7(2)^\circ$, based on the least-squares-plane calculations performed for two halves of the ligand (see Fig. 2), where 15-atom r.m.s. deviations are 0.102 and 0.117 Å. The twist angle, based on the dihedral cant between two Pt coordination planes, is $\omega(\text{Pt1/Pt2}) = 19.6(9)^\circ$, where four-atom r.m.s. deviations are 0.008 and 0.014 Å. These values are quite similar to those reported for $[\text{Pd}_2(\text{NO}_3)_2(\mu\text{-tpp})] \cdot (\text{NO}_3)_2 \cdot 0.5\text{H}_2\text{O}$ [$\omega(\text{tpp}) = 29.1^\circ$ and $\omega(\text{Pd1/Pd2}) = 21.9^\circ$; Yamada *et al.*, 2000]. On the other hand, Ni complexes are reported to have relatively large twists compared to those discussed above [$\omega(\text{tpp}) = 38.3^\circ$ and $\omega(\text{Ni1/Ni2}) = 31.9^\circ$ for $[\text{Ni}_2(\text{acetato})_4(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2(\mu\text{-tpp})] \cdot \text{CH}_2\text{O}$ (Koman *et al.*, 1998), and $\omega(\text{tpp}) = 34.6^\circ$ and $\omega(\text{Ni1/Ni2}) = 25.1^\circ$ for $[\text{Ni}_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6(\mu\text{-tpp})](\text{NO}_3)_4 \cdot 2.5\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (Graf *et al.*, 1997)].

The displacements of atoms Pt1 and Pt2 from their coordination planes are 0.020 (8) and 0.003 (9) Å, respectively. The former is clearly relevant to the effective *d*– π interaction achieved between the Pt atom and the neighboring tpp moiety [Pt1–C24ⁱⁱ = 3.40 (3) Å; symmetry code: (ii) $-x, 1-y, 1-z$]. However, face-to-face interactions between the tpp ligands appear to dominate the stabilization of the crystal packing of (I). The intermolecular Pt...Pt distances listed in Table 1 indicate that Pt...Pt interactions are not significant in this system.

Finally, it should be noted that the Pt–Cl distances within the cation [2.278 (7) and 2.268 (7) Å] are effectively shorter than those in $[\text{PtCl}_3(\text{DMSO})]^-$ [2.294 (8)–2.320 (7) Å], presumably due to the relatively strong back-donation promoted by the tpp ligand.

Experimental

A solution of tpp (0.050 mmol, 0.020 g; Goodwin & Lions, 1959) and *cis*-PtCl₂(DMSO)₂ (0.10 mmol, 0.042 g; Price *et al.*, 1972) in methanol (15 ml) was reacted within a pressure-resistant sealed tube at 393 K for 12 h. After the solution was cooled down to room temperature, orange prisms of (I) were collected by filtration.

Crystal data

$[\text{Pt}_2\text{Cl}_2(\text{C}_{24}\text{H}_{16}\text{N}_6)]^+$
 $[\text{PtCl}_3(\text{C}_2\text{H}_6\text{OS})]^-$
 $M_r = 1608.64$
 Triclinic, $P\bar{1}$
 $a = 10.0868(11)$ Å
 $b = 12.9476(14)$ Å
 $c = 16.5057(19)$ Å
 $\alpha = 94.085(2)^\circ$
 $\beta = 105.321(2)^\circ$
 $\gamma = 100.954(2)^\circ$
 $V = 2024.3(4)$ Å³

$Z = 2$
 $D_x = 2.639$ Mg m⁻³
 Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
 Cell parameters from 818 reflections
 $\theta = 2.5\text{--}19.1^\circ$
 $\mu = 14.45$ mm⁻¹
 $T = 296(1)$ K
 Plate, orange
 0.08 × 0.04 × 0.02 mm

Data collection

Bruker SMART APEX CCD-detector diffractometer
 ω scans
 Absorption correction: Gaussian (*XPREP* in *SAINT*; Bruker, 2001)
 $T_{\text{min}} = 0.511$, $T_{\text{max}} = 0.868$
 9820 measured reflections

5638 independent reflections
 3198 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
 $R_{\text{int}} = 0.088$
 $\theta_{\text{max}} = 23.3^\circ$
 $h = -11 \rightarrow 11$
 $k = -14 \rightarrow 14$
 $l = -18 \rightarrow 18$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2
 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.079$
 $wR(F^2) = 0.117$
 $S = 0.91$
 5638 reflections
 455 parameters

H-atom parameters constrained
 $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2)]$
 $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\max} < 0.001$
 $\Delta\rho_{\max} = 1.55 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
 $\Delta\rho_{\min} = -1.15 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (\AA , $^\circ$).

Pt1—N1	1.95 (2)	Pt4—Cl8	2.276 (7)
Pt1—N6	2.008 (18)	Pt4—Cl7	2.292 (7)
Pt1—N3	2.017 (19)	Pt4—Cl6	2.310 (8)
Pt1—Cl1	2.278 (7)	Pt1···Pt2	6.4792 (17)
Pt2—N2	1.92 (2)	Pt1···Pt3	4.5726 (16)
Pt2—N5	1.99 (2)	Pt2···Pt2 ⁱ	4.662 (2)
Pt2—N4	1.99 (2)	Pt1···Pt1 ⁱⁱ	4.760 (2)
Pt2—Cl2	2.268 (7)	Pt2···Pt3	5.2396 (16)
Pt3—S1	2.212 (7)	Pt2···Pt2 ⁱⁱⁱ	5.758 (2)
Pt3—Cl5	2.294 (8)	Pt2···Pt4	5.9359 (16)
Pt3—Cl3	2.300 (8)	Pt1···Pt4	5.9778 (16)
Pt3—Cl4	2.320 (7)	Pt1···C24 ⁱⁱ	3.40 (3)
Pt4—S2	2.184 (8)		
N1—Pt1—N6	81.2 (8)	S1—Pt3—Cl5	90.6 (3)
N1—Pt1—N3	83.4 (8)	S1—Pt3—Cl3	91.6 (3)
N6—Pt1—N3	164.6 (8)	Cl5—Pt3—Cl3	177.7 (3)
N1—Pt1—Cl1	178.6 (6)	S1—Pt3—Cl4	177.3 (3)
N6—Pt1—Cl1	97.6 (6)	Cl5—Pt3—Cl4	89.0 (3)
N3—Pt1—Cl1	97.8 (6)	Cl3—Pt3—Cl4	88.7 (3)
N2—Pt2—N5	80.5 (9)	S2—Pt4—Cl8	91.7 (3)
N2—Pt2—N4	82.1 (9)	S2—Pt4—Cl7	176.6 (4)
N5—Pt2—N4	162.5 (9)	Cl8—Pt4—Cl7	87.0 (3)
N2—Pt2—Cl2	179.0 (6)	S2—Pt4—Cl6	90.9 (3)
N5—Pt2—Cl2	99.1 (7)	Cl8—Pt4—Cl6	176.5 (3)
N4—Pt2—Cl2	98.4 (7)	Cl7—Pt4—Cl6	90.6 (3)

Symmetry codes: (i) $-1 - x, 1 - y, -z$; (ii) $-x, 1 - y, 1 - z$; (iii) $-x, 1 - y, -z$.

All H atoms were located at their idealized positions as riding atoms ($\text{C—H} = 0.96 \text{ \AA}$ for the methyl H atoms of DMSO and $\text{C—H} = 0.93 \text{ \AA}$ for the aromatic H atoms of tpp). In the final difference Fourier synthesis, 33 residual peaks in the range $1.0\text{--}1.55 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ were observed within ca 1.3 \AA of the Pt atoms. The deepest hole is 1.36 \AA from H27C. Initially, data were collected to θ_{\max} of 27.5° , but upon

inspection, the data above θ_{\max} of 23.3° were essentially unobserved. The data above 23.3° were discarded for the refinement of the structure. This resulted in 57% of the unique data having $I > 2\sigma(I)$.

Data collection: *SMART* (Bruker, 2001); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2001); data reduction: *SAINT*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *KENX* (Sakai, 2002); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL97*, *TEXSAN* (Molecular Structure Corporation, 2001), *KENX* and *ORTEPII* (Johnson, 1976).

This work was partly supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (No. 14340223) from the Ministry of Education, Science, Sports, and Culture of Japan.

References

- Allen, F. H. (2002). *Acta Cryst.* **B58**, 380–388.
 Bruker (2001). *SAINT* (Version 6.22) and *SMART* (Version 5.625). Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
 Goodwin, H. A. & Lions, F. (1959). *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **81**, 6415–6422.
 Graf, M., Stoeckli-Evans, H., Escuer, A. & Vicente, R. (1997). *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, **257**, 89–97.
 Johnson, C. K. (1976). *ORTEPII*. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
 Koman, M., Baloghova, Z. & Valigura, D. (1998). *Acta Cryst.* **C54**, 1277–1279.
 Molecular Structure Corporation (2001). *TEXSAN*. Version 1.11r1 MSC, 3200 Research Forest Drive, The Woodlands, TX 77381, USA.
 Price, J. H., Williamson, A. N., Schramm, R. F. & Wayland, B. B. (1972). *Inorg. Chem.* **11**, 1280–1284.
 Sakai, K. (2002). *KENX*. GUI for *SHELXL97*. Tokyo University of Science, Japan.
 Sakai, K., Ishigami, E., Konno, Y., Kajiwara, T. & Ito, T. (2002). *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **124**, 12088–12089.
 Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). *SHELXS97* and *SHELXL97*. University of Göttingen, Germany.
 Teles, W. M., Speziali, N. L. & Filgueiras, C. A. L. (2000). *Polyhedron*, **19**, 739–742.
 Yamada, Y., Miyashita, Y., Fujiwara, K. & Okamoto, K. (2000). *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, **73**, 1843–1844.